UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,317	05/23/2006	Hans Sigrist	062486	5667	
		08/20/2010 ATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP		EXAMINER	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			CLARK, GREGORY D		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1786		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/580,317	SIGRIST ET AL.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit		
	GREGORY CLARK	1786		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		l l		
This application is abandoned in view of:		·		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of M period for reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	· <u> </u>		
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-		
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.				
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated		
Allowance (PTOL-85).	of C is due			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CER 1 18(d) is \$		
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no		CΓΓC 1.10(α), 15 φ		
(e) In the locate fee and publication fee, it applicable, has no				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 				
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of		
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR		
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review		
7. 🖸 The reason(s) below:				
The examiner contacted the office of Nicolas E. Sec filed;therefore, the case is abandoned.	ckel on 08/12/2010 and confirmed	that no response had been		
/D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1786	/GREGORY CLARK/ Examiner, Art Unit 1786			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to		

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)